

ILLINOIS COLLEGE OF EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS
The Ginny Kennedy Pals Annual Symposium – Thursday, May 29, 2025

ABSTRACT/CASE REPORT RULES AND SUBMISSION FORM

Deadline: **Friday, March 21, 2025**

- ***Only electronic submissions will be accepted. This form is available for download on the ICEP website at www.icep.org. All abstracts case reports should be sent to Mary Taylor at maryt@icep.org. This form may be duplicated for additional submissions. All submissions must be typed.***
- ***The deadline for submissions is **Friday, March 21, 2025** at 4:30 pm Central Time. This deadline will be strictly enforced.***
- ***Please attach a blinded copy of the research abstract or case report for judging purposes.***
- ***This year ICEP welcomes case reports. Case reports are brief descriptions of a previously undocumented disease process, a unique unreported manifestation or treatment of a known disease process, or unique unreported complications of treatment regimens. The abstract should include an introduction, a case narrative, and a discussion focusing on the implications of the case to emergency medicine. If a photo is included in the case presentation, patient consent is required.***
- ***Research Abstract/Case Report guidelines are:***
 - 400-word count limit
 - Maximum of 1 table or figure
 - Structure headings include Background, Objective, Design/Methods, Results, Conclusion and Impact. See Attached Sample Layout.
- ***Helpful Writing Tips:***
 - Your Objective statement should naturally lead to your Conclusion
 - Your Methods should explicitly define the type of study (e.g., retrospective vs prospective; chart review; randomized control; survey), enrollment period (e.g., 1/1/16 – 12/31/16), and inclusion/exclusion criteria
 - Your Methods should explicitly define your analytic strategies (e.g., descriptive, Fisher exact, multiple linear regression)
 - There is no need to mention IRB oversight (this is understood)
 - Your Results should explicitly disclose your N (# of subjects)
 - There is no need to mention limitations
 - Use a leading zero for all decimal figures (e.g., 0.3)
 - Include a space between a value and its units (e.g., 120 mmHg rather than 120mmHg)
 - Do not mention your institution or hospital anywhere in the abstract

- **Research Abstract/Case Report Scoring guidelines are:**
 - **An 18-point scoring system has been developed by the Research Committee. Understanding the criteria below will help optimize your Abstract submission.**
 - Objective total = 2 points**
 - 0=No stated hypothesis or objective
 - 1=Stated hypothesis or objective difficult to test
 - 2=Clearly stated and testable hypothesis or objective
 - Design/Methods total = 2 points**
 - 0=Chosen design not stated or chosen design did not test the stated hypothesis
 - 1=Chosen design is reasonable for study objective but not the best
 - 2=Chosen design is ideal for study question
 - Outcomes total = 2 points**
 - 0=Chosen outcomes are not stated or inappropriate for study objective
 - 1=Chosen outcomes are reasonable for study objective, but not the best
 - 2=Chosen outcomes are ideal for study objective
 - Bias total = 2 points**
 - 0=Multiple bias, such as wrong or inadequate population studied
 - 1=Adequate population sampling but bias in assessment, measurements, and/or comparison
 - 2=Adequate sampling and no obvious bias
 - Results total = 3 points**
 - 0=Critical data to interpretation of study absent
 - 1=Study size stated
 - 2=Study size acceptable but statistics, if applicable, not adequate
 - 3=Both study size and statistics adequate
 - Conclusion total = 3 points**
 - 0=Conclusion not supported at all by data
 - 1=Conclusion minimally supported by data
 - 2=Conclusion somewhat supported by data
 - 3=Conclusion fully supported by data
 - Impact total = 2 points**
 - 0=Study will not change EM practice or make no meaningful contribution to current fund of knowledge
 - 1=Study will possibly change EM practice or modestly contribute to current fund of knowledge
 - 2=Study will definitely change EM practice or significantly change current fund of knowledge
 - Quality of Writing and tables/figures total = 2 points**
 - 0=Poor
 - 1=Generally good
 - 2=Exceptional

Maximum total score = 18